


adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation filed in this case in support of its finding that Petitioner has failed to show that reasonable jurists would find "it debatable whether the petition [currently] states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right" and "debatable whether [this Court] was correct in its procedural ruling" – that Petitioner did not fully and properly exhausted state court remedies prior to filing the 28 U.S.C. § 2254 application. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).¹ In the event that Petitioner will file a notice of appeal, the Court notes that he shall either pay the appellate filing fee of \$505.00 or move for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal.

SO ORDERED this 29th day of September, 2017.


DAVID C. GODBEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ Rule 11 of the Rules Governing §§ 2254 and 2255 Cases, as amended effective on December 1, 2009, reads as follows:

(a) Certificate of Appealability. The district court must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a final order adverse to the applicant. Before entering the final order, the court may direct the parties to submit arguments on whether a certificate should issue. If the court issues a certificate, the court must state the specific issue or issues that satisfy the showing required by 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). If the court denies a certificate, the parties may not appeal the denial but may seek a certificate from the court of appeals under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22. A motion to reconsider a denial does not extend the time to appeal.

(b) Time to Appeal. Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a) governs the time to appeal an order entered under these rules. A timely notice of appeal must be filed even if the district court issues a certificate of appealability.